

THE EARLIEST INDICATORS OF AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS:

FROM IDENTIFICATION TO EARLY INTERVENTION

Amy M. Wetherby, Ph.D.

&

Juliann Woods, Ph.D.

Florida State University

Presented at ASHA 2001 Conference

DSM IV Diagnostic Criteria for Autistic Disorder

- ❖ Impairment in Social Interaction
- ❖ Impairment in Communication
- ❖ Restricted Repertoire of Activity and Interests

Impairment in Social Interaction

- ❖ *Impairment in the use of nonverbal behavior*
- ❖ *Lack of spontaneous sharing*
- ❖ *Lack of social/emotional reciprocity*
- ❖ *Failure to develop peer relationships*

Impairment in Communication

- ❖ *Delay in or lack of development of spoken language & gestures*
- ❖ *Impairment in the ability to initiate or maintain conversation*
- ❖ *Repetitive and idiosyncratic use of language*
- ❖ *Lack of pretend play*

Restricted Repertoire of Activity and Interests

- ❖ *Preoccupation with restricted patterns of interest*
- ❖ *Inflexible adherence to routines*
- ❖ *Repetitive movements*
- ❖ *Preoccupation with parts of objects*

DSM-IV Diagnostic Criteria for Pervasive Developmental Disorder

- ❖ Autistic Disorder
- ❖ PDD- Not Otherwise Specified
- ❖ Asperger's Disorder
- ❖ Rett's Disorder
- ❖ Childhood Disintegrative Disorder

Core Communication Deficits In Young Children with Autism

- ❖ **CAPACITY FOR JOINT ATTENTION**
 - Orienting to social stimuli
 - Shifting attention between people & objects
 - Sharing positive affect
 - Following gaze/point of another person
 - Drawing another's attention to objects and events for the purpose of sharing

Core Communication Deficits In Young Children with Autism

- ❖ **CAPACITY FOR SYMBOL USE**
 - Using conventional & symbolic gestures
 - Using communicative vocalizations
 - Using and understanding conventional meanings of words
 - Using objects functionally & in symbolic play

Accuracy of Specific Language Impairment Diagnosis at Age 2

- ❖ About half of children identified as late talkers at age 2 received a diagnosis of specific language impairment at age 3
- ❖ Children delayed in expressive language only were very likely to catch up on their own
- ❖ Children also delayed in receptive language, gestures, sounds, and play were more likely to have persisting language problems

(Paul, 1991; Rescorla, 1991; Thal, Tobias, & Morrison, 1991)

Accuracy of Autism Spectrum Diagnosis at Age 2

Diagnosis of Autistic Disorder (AD)

- ❖ 94% of children diagnosed at age 2 remained on the autism spectrum at age 3; 6% moved off the spectrum
- ❖ Of the 94%, 3/4 retained the diagnosis of AD at age 3 and 1/4 moved to PDD-NOS

Diagnosis of Atypical Autism (PDD-NOS)

- ❖ 74% of children diagnosed at age 2 remained on the autism spectrum at age 3; 26% moved off the spectrum
- ❖ Of the 74%, 2/3 retained the diagnosis of PDD-NOS at age 3, 1/3 moved to AD

(Lord, Pickles, DiLavore, & Shulman, 1996; Lord & Risi, 2000)

Clinical Features of Autism Spectrum in Young Children

- ❖ *Social Impairments* were prominent at 24 months
- ❖ *Communication Impairments* were prominent at 24 months
- ❖ *Restricted Repertoire of Activities and Interests* were not prominent until 36 months

(Lord, 1995; Stone, Lee, Ashford, Brissie, Hepburn, Coonrod, & Weiss, 1999)

Features that Do and Do Not Differentiate ASD and DD under 36 Months of Age

Schaffer, Cobb, Vick, & Stone, 2001

- | DO | DO NOT |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ❖ Fleeting eye gaze | ❖ Difficulty separating |
| ❖ Plays with small # of toys | ❖ Limited play schemes |
| ❖ Object attachment | ❖ Self stimulatory behaviors |
| ❖ Inconsistent response to speech | ❖ Difficulty with structure |
| ❖ Use of nonspeech sounds | ❖ Difficulty with transitions |
| ❖ Lack of point | ❖ Rigidity in routines |
| ❖ Unconventional communication | ❖ Repetition of video/tv |
| ❖ Low gesture use | ❖ Echolalia |
| | ❖ Frequent use of rote speech |

Markers Based on CHAT at 18 months

- ❖ Proto-declarative pointing
- ❖ Gaze-monitoring
- ❖ Pretend play

38 of 16,235 failed these 3 key items (10 diagnosed PDD)

369 of 16,235 failed 2 of 3 key items (22 diagnosed PDD, 37 DD, and 310 typical)

35% SENSITIVITY 98% SPECIFICITY

Features Distinguishing Autism Based on Home Videotapes at 12 months

- ❖ Pointing
- ❖ Showing
- ❖ Looking at Others
- ❖ Orienting to Name

Only the latter two distinguished children with autism from children with developmental delays.

(Osterling & Dawson, 1994; 1999)

Practice Parameters for Screening and Diagnosis of Autism Spectrum

Absolute Indications for Immediate Further Evaluation:

- ❖ No babbling by 12 months
- ❖ No gesturing (pointing, waving bye-bye) by 12 months
- ❖ No single words by 16 months
- ❖ No 2-word spontaneous (not just echolalic) phrases by 24 months
- ❖ ANY loss of ANY language or social skills at ANY age

.....
*Child Neurology Society and American Academy of Neurology
(Filipek, Accardo, Baranek, et al., 1999)*



FIRST WORDS Project

Model Demonstration Project

<http://firstwords.fsu.edu>

Amy M. Wetherby, Ph.D.
Project Director

CSBS Developmental Profile

- ❖ Emotion and Use of Eye Gaze
- ❖ Use of Communication
- ❖ Use of Gestures
- ❖ Use of Sounds
- ❖ Use of Words
- ❖ Understanding of Words
- ❖ Use of Objects

Comprehensive Programs

- ❖ Curriculum addresses all areas of concern
- ❖ Focus on communication and social interaction
- ❖ Intervention linked to assessment
- ❖ Family collaboration
- ❖ Systematic teaching of functional skills
- ❖ Team coordination
- ❖ Ongoing evaluation for child and family outcomes

(Dawson & Osterling, 1997)

What We Know...What We Need to Know:

(National Research Council, 2001: www.nap.edu)

- ❖ Intensity matters
 - What range is best for very young children?
- ❖ Early is better
 - How soon can we intervene and with what approach?
- ❖ Family participation is essential
 - What roles are priorities? What do parents do best?
- ❖ Joint attention, communication and engagement are key
 - What specific strategies are most effective?
- ❖ Interaction with peers is beneficial
 - How soon? How much? Who? Doing what?

Early Social Interaction Project

Amy Wetherby & Juliann Woods, Co-Directors

- ❖ Comprehensive, coordinated services guided by IFSP
- ❖ Community based programs in natural environments
- ❖ Specialized services with intensity matching needs of child and family
- ❖ Individualized curriculum emphasizing communication, play, and social interaction
- ❖ Methods and intensity modified every 3 months as needed based on child's progress
- ❖ Routine based intervention in meaningful activities
- ❖ Positive behavioral support
- ❖ Family education and participation

Why Family Participation?

- ❖ Primary force behind the child's program now and in the future
- ❖ Vested interest in child's behavior and interaction development and use
- ❖ Time and resource availability
- ❖ Information, support, and skills
- ❖ Improved child and family outcomes

Why Routines?

- ❖ Functional and meaningful to children and caregivers
- ❖ Can be implemented within variety of natural environments of young children
- ❖ Multiple outcomes can be embedded
- ❖ Motivating and reinforcing for child
- ❖ Opportunities for repetition are inherent
- ❖ Increased independence is beneficial

More Reasons Why...

- ❖ Generalization is implicit
- ❖ Scaffolds are provided for caregivers
- ❖ Portable for daily use
- ❖ Common, everyday materials of child and family
- ❖ Progress can be monitored
- ❖ Consistent with legislation and developmentally appropriate practice

Why Not Routines?

- ❖ Routines can become rituals
- ❖ Increased expectations within "comfort zone" can induce challenging behaviors
- ❖ Routines can become too routine for caregivers
- ❖ Dispersed trials may reduce speed of skill acquisition
- ❖ Requires child to be motivated or at least engaged

Why Family Guided Routine-based Intervention?

- ❖ Individualized for interests and concerns of child and family
- ❖ Links assessment to intervention by embedding functional and meaningful targets
- ❖ Guided by family priorities and preferences for services within routines and activities
- ❖ Congruent with places and processes of natural environments legislation

Prioritizing Intervention Targets

- ❖ Family concerns and priorities
- ❖ Disability specific priorities
 - Social interaction and relationships
 - Communication and language
 - Engagement and play
- ❖ Cognitive, adaptive, sensory and motor as prioritized by family and team as important

Skills to Target in Routines

- ❖ Does the child need or use this skill in other routines?
- ❖ Does the child need this skill both now for this routine and in the future?
- ❖ Will learning this skill set the stage for learning more sophisticated skills?
- ❖ Does someone else currently help perform this skill?
- ❖ Will learning this skill decrease challenging behaviors?
- ❖ Will learning the skill enable the child to be more like peers?
- ❖ Will learning the skill enable the child to participate in the community?

Adapted from Bricker & Woods Cripe (1992) and Noonan & McCormick (1994)

Initial Targets for Social Interaction

- ❖ Accepting and giving positive touch
- ❖ Accepting and staying in proximity
- ❖ Eye gaze with other
- ❖ Social smile and gestures
- ❖ Participating in group action
- ❖ Turn taking
- ❖ Showing and giving in play

Initial Targets for Communication

- ❖ Establish reciprocity
- ❖ Conventionalize signals for request, protest, attention
- ❖ Expand communication functions
 - Request social routine or comfort
 - Call or greet
 - Show off
 - Request permission or information
 - Comment on object/action
- ❖ Establish initiation skills
- ❖ Replace unacceptable behaviors with readable signals
- ❖ Develop persistence of attempts and repairs

Initial Targets for Engagement and Play

- ❖ Attention to object/event
- ❖ Joint attention
- ❖ Variety in play actions/toy use
- ❖ Activity participation
- ❖ Parallel play
- ❖ Combinatory social and play actions
- ❖ Use of object with conventional actions
- ❖ Exploratory actions on objects
- ❖ Functional play with objects
- ❖ Combinatorial actions and play
- ❖ Applies action scheme to self and with others
- ❖ Use of one object to stand for another

Emerging Language Targets

- ❖ Increase conventional signals including distal gestures and complex vocalizations
- ❖ Direct attention to self before communicating
- ❖ Increase intelligible and unambiguous acts
- ❖ Expand vocabulary (receptive & expressive)
- ❖ Increase flexibility across people and places
- ❖ Increase conventional use of repetition if child uses echolalia

Building Routines with Caregivers

- ❖ Clearly identify intervention routines and activities of interest to child and family
- ❖ Match intervention targets (outcomes) to the appropriate routine or activity
- ❖ Observe sequence and strategies used
- ❖ Embed as appropriate across entire routine
 - Initiation and set up
 - Activity and clean up
- ❖ Embed targets within typical sequence UNLESS sequence is dictated by child's disorder

Coordinating Interventions

- ❖ Family and team must coordinate:
 - Targets within routines and activities
 - Sequence and frequency of embedding
 - Facilitators and locations of intervention
 - Methods used to initiate interaction, maintain child's engagement, encourage participation, and motivate child
 - Data collection and analysis

Systemizing Caregiver Interventions

- ❖ Identify opportunities to practice each outcome clearly... "more" isn't better
- ❖ Carefully plan who will be involved, when, and where it will occur
- ❖ Provide caregivers time to practice and problem solve "what might happen if..."
- ❖ Use natural cues with the routine
- ❖ Establish system for monitoring progress

Remember to...

- ❖ Focus on what the child IS DOING, rather than what the child is not doing; on what the child's INTERESTS are, rather than the limitations
- ❖ Recognize the relevance of the child's conventional and unconventional behaviors for communication and interaction